

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DAT | TE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/730,286 | 30,286 12/09/2003 | | Sung Gi Hwang | K-0590 | 2595 |
| 34610 | 7590 09/ | /07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200 | | | GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL | | |
| CHANTILLY, VA 20153 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3749 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/730,286 | HWANG, SUNG GI | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Stephen Gravini | 3749 | | |

| | Stephen Gravini | 3749 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expire is a checked, check either box (b) or a statutory period for reply expires in the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event of the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event of the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires in the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 0001150 |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | <u>`</u> | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-19</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: | | | • |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-31</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidate | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered someone and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appear | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | • |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | Slaphen & | Javu. |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims and supporting arguments would require further consideration and/or search and are not considered to place the application in better form for appeal by simplifying issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The supporting arguments would require further consideration and/or search but the amended claims are considered to place the application in better condition for allowance such that the restriction requirement would be withdrawn.